Last Name	
IRB#	

TEXAS A&M INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Bottom Line: Human research must be done in a way that is **valid** and of **value**. All reasonable efforts must be made to **minimize risk**, while providing a benefit if possible to the participant of the research. The human participant must be **informed** unless there is a reasonable reason that prevents the research from being accomplished.

Study Name:			
Principal Investigator(s):			
Student Investigator(s):			
-	Exempt	Expedited	Full Review

I. SCHOOL STUDIES ONLY

TAMIU's IRB will NOT approve any study that has not already been approved by the appropriate school district. YES NO N/A

		1.	Has LISD/UISD – or the school district IRB approved the study?
--	--	----	--

II. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY			
YES	NO	N/A	
			2. Are the objectives of the study clearly specified?
			3. Are adequate preliminary data, references, justifications provided to justify the research?

III. RISKS AND BENEFITS				
YES	NO	N/A		
			4. Are the risks adequately identified and described?	
			5. Are the benefits adequately identified and described?	
			6. Are the risks reasonable in relationship to the benefits/importance of the knowledge to be gained?	
			7. Are risks minimized to the greatest extent possible?	

IV. SUBJECT RECRUITMENT

Bottom Line: All races, all genders must be included unless justification is provided. YES NO N/A 8. Are inclusion and exclusion criteria clearly stated and reasonable? 9. Is subject selection equitable (all races, all genders)? If not, is reasonable justification provided? 10. Are vulnerable subjects included (children, prisoners, women - in some cases - e.g. pregnant, Π employees)? **11.** If vulnerable subjects are included, are appropriate safeguards in place? 12. Are the methods for recruiting subjects well defined? Is the process acceptable? **13.** Are recruitment materials submitted appropriate?

V. CI	V. CHILDREN STUDIES ONLY				
YES	NO	N/A			
			14. If children are involved which category does this research fall into:		
			a. Research not involving greater than minimal risk [45 CFR 46.404]		
			b. Research involving greater than minimal risk, but with <u>direct</u> benefit to the individual		
			[45 CFR 46.405]		
			REQUIRES FULL REVIEW		
			c. Research involving greater than minimal risk, with <u>no direct</u> benefit to the individual		
			[45 CFR 46.406]		
			REQUIRES FULL REVIEW		
			REQUIRES ALL GUARDIANS CONSENT (BOTH PARENTS USUALLY)		
			d. Research not otherwise approvable, but which present an opportunity to understand,		
			prevent, or alleviate a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children [
	-		45 CFR 46.407]		
			REQUIRES FEDERAL REVIEW		
			15. Is a parental consent form included and understandable to the parent?		
			16. Is a child assent form included and understandable to the child?		
			Note assent should be obtained in most cases.		

VI. C	VI. CONSENT				
YES	NO	N/A			
			17. Review the informed consent checklist. Are all elements of informed consent included?		
			18. Were there any exceptions to the informed consent checklist? Are they acceptable?		
			19. Is a waiver of informed consent requested? If so:		
			 a. Have the criteria for a waiver/modification of informed consent been met? The consent form would be the only document linking the subject to the research and a potential risk would be a breach in confidentiality Study has no more than minimal risk, and involves no procedures for which consent is normally appeared antida of the present and appeared. 		
			is normally required outside of the research environmentb. If informed consent is waived, should the researcher provide the subject with a written statement regarding the research?		
			 c. If children are included, have the criteria for waiver of parental consent been met? > IRB must determine that parental permission is not a reasonable requirement to protect the subjects 		
			 Appropriate mechanisms must be implemented to protect children as subjects d. If a waiver or modification of the required consent elements was proposed, have the criteria been met? The research involves no more than minimal risk to the subjects The waiver/alteration will not adversely affect the rights and welfare of the subject The research could NOT be practically carried out without the waiver/alteration, and when appropriate the subject will be provided with pertinent information after participation 		

VII. COMPENSATION / COURSE CREDIT			
YES	NO	N/A	
			20. Is the amount/type of compensation or reimbursement non-coercive?

VIII.	PRO	CEDUI	RDS
YES	NO	N/A	
			21. Is the scientific design adequate to answer the questions(s)?
			22. Are the aims/objectives likely to be achievable within the given time period?
			23. Are the research procedures described in sufficient detail to allow evaluation of the research protocol? Are the procedures acceptable?
			24. Is there a clear differentiation between procedures for research purposes only, and procedures for normal life/care of the subject?
			25. Are there adequate plans to inform subjects about specific research results that might affect the subject's health and/or decision to continue participation?
IX. S	UBJE	CT PR	IVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY
YES	NO	N/A	
			26. Are there adequate provisions to protect subjects' privacy and assure confidentiality of the results, both during and after the research?
			27. Is the use of identifiers necessary?
X. ST	ATIS	TICAI	L ANALYSIS:
YES	NO	N/A	
			28. Is the rationale for the proposed number of subjects reasonable?
			29. Are the plans for data and statistical analysis defined and justified?
XI. O	THE	R ISSU	JES – ALL STUDIES
YES	NO	N/A	
			30. Next review in one year?
			If no, specify when review needs to occur:
			31. Are there appropriate resources to conduct the research safely?
			32. Has the investigator assured appropriate support services are available – counseling, referrals?
			33. Are there adequate provisions for possible injury/liability coverage?
			34. Are there provisions included for research related injuries if applicable?
XII. I	EXPE	DITEL) / FULL REVIEW ONLY
YES	NO □	N/A	35. Has the investigator completed CITI training?
COM	IMEN	TS:	

	SENI	ADDIM	NT FORM CHECKLIST:
YES	NO	N/A	
			Does the title of the study appear at the top of the form?
			Is the form written in first person and in simple lay language?
			Is the number of potential subjects clearly specified?
			Is the form written in the native language of the potential subject?
			Does the form state purpose of the study, what the researcher expects to learn?
			For students, does the form state how the study relates to project, thesis, dissertation?
			Does the form indicate that a Certificate of Confidentiality has been obtained?
			Does the form state if the study is confidential or anonymous?
			For sensitive subjects, does the form indicate that in certain cases of detected abuse, this information must be reported to proper authorities?
			Does the form indicate to the subject his/her right to choose to participate?
			Is there a statement indicating why and how this subject was selected as a possible participant? Are the population and sample clearly identified?
			Does the form clearly explain the procedure to be followed in implementing the project (time,
			frequency, nature of information, questions asked, observations made)?
			Is there a statement detailing possible expected discomforts/inconveniences?
			Does the form describe any participant risks that are involved in the project?
			If pregnancy presents a risk, have specific precautions been taken?
			Are benefits to the subject identified in the form? If none, is that stated as well.
			If the project requires that any standard treatment is withheld, is this clearly designated in the form? If alternative treatments are available, are they described
			Is the use of any tapes or other materials (such as audio tapes, videotapes, photos, use of data for other purposes) explained and the final disposition made clear?
			Are compensation and costs identified specifically for the subject?
			Are company's employees being used as research subjects?
			If so, does form state the subjects' status with regard to Workman's Compensation insurance while they are participating in the investigation?
			Does the form indicate where the subject can contact the Principal Investigator (PI) and /or research advisor to have questions answered?
			In the case of faculty member PI's, is there someone else identified as a contact person, i.e., department head, section leader, etc?
			Does the form have the TAMIU IRB statement along with the address, telephone number and e- mail address of the IRB Chair?
			Does the form indicate to the subject that he/she can withdraw at any time from the project? Does the form indicate any procedures that might be necessary for ordinary withdrawal from a
_			complex study? Are situations where the subject's participation can be terminated described? Does the form indicate to the subject that he/she is entitled a written copy?
			Does a statement exist expressing that the subject's signature indicates a willingness to
			participate?
			Does the form have a place for the subject's signature, witness signature and date?
			Does a parental consent form have a blank line for the child's printed name?
			Is there a child's assent form (required for children ages 7-17)?
			Is this a high-risk protocol?
			If so, is TAMIU statement regarding non-availability of medical care in the consent form?
			If appropriate, does the consent form have statement regarding FDA review of all records?